- (6) If a termination action is brought against a lender or third-party servicer and the presiding officer concludes that a limitation is more appropriate, the presiding officer may issue a decision imposing one or more limitations on a lender or third-party servicer rather than terminating the lender's or servicer's eligibility.
- (7) In a termination action against a lender or third-party servicer based on a debarment under Executive Order 12549 or under the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR), 48 CFR part 9, subpart 9.4 that does not meet the standards described in 34 CFR 85.201(c), the presiding official finds that the debarment constitutes prima facie evidence that cause for debarment and termination under this subpart exists.
- (8) The initial decision of the presiding officer is mailed to the lender or servicer.
- (9) Any time schedule specified in this section may be shortened with the approval of the presiding officer and the consent of the lender or servicer and the Secretary or designated Departmental official.
- (10) The presiding officer's initial decision automatically becomes the Secretary's final decision 20 days after it is issued and received by both parties unless the lender, servicer, or designated Departmental official appeals the decision to the Secretary within this period.
- (c) Notwithstanding the other provisions of this section, if a lender or a lender's owner or officer or third-party servicer or servicer's owner or officer, respectively, is convicted of or pled nolo contendere or guilty to a crime involving the unlawful acquisition, use, or expenditure of FFEL program funds, that conviction or guilty plea is grounds for terminating the lender's or servicer's eligibility, respectively, to participate in the FFEL programs.
- (d) In any action to limit or terminate a lender's eligibility based on a violation of the prohibitions in section 435(d)(5) of the Act, if the Secretary, the designated Department official or hearing official finds that the lender provided or offered the payments or activities described in paragraph (5)(i) of the definition of *lender* in §682.200(b), the Secretary or the official applies a

rebuttable presumption that the payments or activities were offered or provided to secure applications for FFEL loans. To reverse the presumption, the lender must present evidence that the activities or payments were provided for a reason unrelated to securing applications for FFEL loans or securing FFEL loan volume.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1080, 1082, 1085, 1094)

[59 FR 22458, Apr. 29, 1994, as amended at 60 FR 33058, June 25, 1995; 72 FR 62009, Nov. 1, 2007]

§ 682.707 Appeals in a limitation or termination proceeding.

- (a) If the lender, third-party servicer, or designated Departmental official appeals the initial decision of the presiding officer in accordance with §682.706(b)(10)—
- (1) An appeal is made to the Secretary by submitting to the Secretary and the opposing party within 15 days of the date of the appealing party's receipt of the presiding officer's decision a brief or other written material explaining why the decision of the presiding officer should be overturned or modified; and
- (2) The opposing party shall submit its brief or other written material to the Secretary and the appealing party within 15 days of its receipt of the brief or written material of the appealing party.
- (b) The Secretary issues a final decision affirming, modifying, or reversing the initial decision, including a statement of the reasons for the Secretary's decision.
- (c) Any party submitting material to the Secretary shall provide a copy to each party that participates in the hearing.
- (d) If the presiding officer's initial decision would limit or terminate the lender's or servicer's eligibility, it does not take effect pending the appeal unless the Secretary determines that a stay of the date it becomes effective would seriously and adversely affect the FFEL programs or student or parent borrowers.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1080, 1082, 1085, 1094)

[57 FR 60323, Dec. 18, 1992, as amended at 59 FR 22458, Apr. 29, 1994; 66 FR 34765, June 29, 2001]